

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/982,210	10/17/2001	Sridatta Viswanath	SUN-P6535NP US/NC	7389
7	590 03/02/2004		EXAM	INER
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP			LOFDAHL, JORDAN M	
Third Floor Two North Ma	wheat Ctmaat		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Jose, CA			3644	

DATE MAILED: 03/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/982,210	VISWANATH ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jordan Lofdahl	3644	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rly (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	5 January 2003.		
,	•	This action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under	·	·	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) Objected to	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor The oath or declaration is objected to by the		• • •).
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have beer reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachmen		_		
2)	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-25 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-25 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rivera et al. (2002/0107699).

As to claim 1, Rivera et al. discloses a system comprising an applications content mapping module (data manager) for automatically mapping electronic purchase requisition applications content of a first data format processed internally to a second data format; a database capable of storing data descriptors describing the contents of said purchase requisition applications, said database capable of storing data object and attributes pertinent to said electronic purchase requisition application content and applications content translation logic, translating applications content into a third format (claim 16). Not disclosed is utilizing tags of said first data format to determine corresponding data objects and selectively retrieving one or more of said corresponding data objects and attributes according to a flag. In the absence of any stated

Art Unit: 3644

problems solved by or any stated advantage obtained by utilizing tags of said first data format to determine corresponding data objects and selectively retrieving one or more of said corresponding data objects and attributes according to a flag as claimed in the instant invention; It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to comprise the system of Rivera et al. to utilize tags of said first data format to determine corresponding data objects and selectively retrieving one or more of said corresponding data objects and attributes according to a flag. Further such modification is merely an alternate equivalent data format process means performing the same intended function.

As to claim 2, disclosed is an applications content configuration module coupled to said application content mapping module (detailed description).

As to claim 3, disclosed is an extensible module capable of including predefined data descriptors.

As to claim 4, disclosed is data formatting logic (paragraph [0047]).

As to claim 5, disclosed is pre defined tag information (product identifiers).

As to claim 6, disclosed is compliance with XML.

As to claim 7, disclosed is the mapping logic for automatically mapping index information of said first data format into said tag information of said second data format (detailed description). Application/Control Number: 09/982,210

Art Unit: 3644

Page 4

As to claim 8, disclosed is the applications content configuration module is capable of being and

executable text file.

As to claim 9, disclosed is the XML compliant with the OBI

As to claim 10, disclosed is the client capable of being a wireless personal computer system.

As to claim 11, disclosed is a sever coupled to said XML content mapper; a plurality of goods

and services catalog residing in a database in said server, each of said catalogs comprising

unique goods and services identification parameters; a XML content translator and a document

exchange framework module coupled to said content mapper (Detailed Description).

As to claims 12-25, the system and method as disclosed in the instant application is considered to

be anticipated as readily apparent by the teachings of Rivera et al.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Art Unit: 3644

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jordan Lofdahl whose telephone number is 703.605.1217. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7-3.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor. Charles Jordan can be reached on 703,306,4159. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Jordan Lofdahl Examiner

Art Unit 3644

jml